



# Species Modeling Report

PREDICTED HABITAT:

# **American Beaver**

Castor canadensis

Taxa: Mammalian

- Order: Rodentia
- Family: Castoridae

SE-GAP Spp Code: **mAMBE** ITIS Species Code: 180212 NatureServe Element Code: AMAFE01010

#### **KNOWN RANGE:**



 Range Map Link:
 http://www.basic.ncsu.edu/segap/datazip/maps/SE\_Range\_mAMBE.pdf

 Predicted Habitat Map Link:
 http://www.basic.ncsu.edu/segap/datazip/maps/SE\_Dist\_mAMBE.pdf

 GAP Online Tool Link:
 http://www.gapserve.ncsu.edu/segap/segap/index2.php?species=mAMBE

 Data Download:
 http://www.basic.ncsu.edu/segap/datazip/region/vert/mAMBE\_se00.zip

### **PROTECTION STATUS:**

Reported on March 14, 2011

Federal Status: ---

State Status: AL (GA, FB), ID (G), KY (N), NV (YES), NY (GS), RI (Not Listed), UT (None), BC (4 (2005)), BC (4 (2005)), QC (Non suivie), SK (NIAC)

#### NS Global Rank: G5

NS State Rank: AK (S5), AL (S5), AR (S5), AZ (S4), CA (S5), CO (S4), CT (S5), DC (S3), DE (S3), FL (SNR), GA (S5), IA (S5), ID (S5), IL (S5), IN (S4), KS (S5), KY (S5), LA (S5), MA (S5), MD (S5), ME (S5), MI (S5), MN (SNR), MO (SNR), MS (S5), MT (S5), NC (S5), ND (SNR), NE (S5), NH (S5), NJ (S5), NM (S3), NV (S4), NY (S5), OH (SNR), OK (S4), OR (S5), PA (S5), RI (S384), SC (SNR), SD (S5), TN (S5), TX (S5), UT (S4), VA (S5), VT (S5), WA (S5), WI (S5), WV (S5), WY (S4), AB (S5), BC (S5), LB (S5), NB (S5), NB (S5), NF (S5), NS (S5), NT (SNR), NU (SNR), ON (S5), PE (S5), QC (S5), SK (S5), YT (S5)

## SUMMARY OF PREDICTED HABITAT BY MANAGMENT AND GAP PROTECTION STATUS:

	US FWS		US Forest Service		Tenn. Valley Author.		US DOD/ACOE		
	ha	%	ha	%	ha	%	ha	%	
Status 1	63,175.9	< 1	5,944.6	< 1	0.0	0	0.0	0	
Status 2	158,362.6	2	21,825.0	< 1	0.0	0	1,725.1	< 1	
Status 3	1,338.9	< 1	264,479.0	3	9,455.7	< 1	111,783.2	1	
Status 4	23.3	< 1	0.0	0	0.0	0	3.9	< 1	
Total	222,900.7	2	292,248.5	3	9,455.7	< 1	113,512.2	1	
			I		I		ļ.		
	US Dept. of Energy		US Nat. Park Service		NOAA		Other Federal Lands		
	ha	%	ha	%	ha	%	ha	%	
Status 1	0.0	0	14,272.7	< 1	9.4	< 1	0.0	0	
Status 2	0.0	0	889.8	< 1	1,294.1	< 1	0.0	0	
Status 3	15,467.1	< 1	9,338.6	< 1	0.0	0	1,107.5	< 1	
Status 4	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	
Total	15,467.1	< 1	24,501.2	< 1	1,303.5	< 1	1,107.5	< 1	
			I		I		1		
	Native Am. Reserv.		State Park/Hist. Park		State WMA/G	State WMA/Gameland		State Forest	
	ha	%	ha	%	ha	%	ha	%	
Status 1	0.0	0	156.5	< 1	7.4	< 1	0.0	0	
Status 2	0.0	0	1,313.9	< 1	243,085.1	2	37.7	< 1	
Status 3	2,014.5	< 1	110,722.1	1	106,370.9	1	45,985.9	< 1	
Status 4	0.0	0	0.0	0	12,171.2	< 1	6.9	< 1	
Total	2,014.5	< 1	112,192.5	1	361,634.6	4	46,030.5	< 1	
			I		I		ļ.		
	State Coastal Reserve		ST Nat.Area/Preserve		Other State Lands		Private Cons. Easemt.		
	ha	%	ha	%	ha	%	ha	%	
Status 1	0.0	0	2,107.4	< 1	0.0	0	0.0	0	
Status 2	10,373.5	< 1	40,534.7	< 1	3.1	< 1	456.6	< 1	
Status 3	0.0	0	928.7	< 1	4,394.0	< 1	24,071.5	< 1	
Status 4	0.0	0	0.0	0	951.6	< 1	0.0	0	
Total	10,373.5	< 1	43,570.9	< 1	5,348.6	< 1	24,528.1	< 1	
			I		I		1		
	Private Land -	No Res.		Water			Over	all Total	
	ha	%	ha	%			ha	%	
Status 1	0.0	0	0.0	0			85,673.9	< 1	
Status 2	0.0	0	0.0	0			479,901.2	5	
Status 3	395.4	< 1	0.0	0			707,852.8	9	
Status 4	8,672,047.7	85	23,914.7	< 1			8,721,267.3	85	
Total	8,672,443.1	85	23,914.7	< 1			9,994,695.1	100	
			I		I				

GAP Status 1: An area having permanent protection from conversion of natural land cover and a mandated management plan in operation to maintain a natural state within which disturbance events (of natural type, frequency, and intensity) are allowed to proceed without interference or are mimicked through management.

GAP Status 2: An area having permanent protection from conversion of natural land cover and a mandated management plan in operation to maintain a primarily natural state, but which may receive use or management practices that degrade the quality of existing natural communities.

GAP Status 3: An area having permanent protection from conversion of natural land cover for the majority of the area, but subject to extractive uses of either a broad, low-intensity type or localized intense type. It also confers protection to federally listed endangered and threatened species throughout the area.

GAP Status 4: Lack of irrevocable easement or mandate to prevent conversion of natural habitat types to anthropogenic habitat types. Allows for intensive use throughout the tract. Also includes those tracts for which the existence of such restrictions or sufficient information to establish a higher status is unknown.

#### Year-round Model:

Habitat Description: Beavers occupy small and medium-sized permanent water bodies in forested areas (Whitaker and Hamilton 1998). All manner of aquatic habitats that can be dammed to form calm pools and ponds are used. They will readily occupy artificial ponds, reservoirs, and canals if food is available. In mountainous areas, beavers typically have the most success at building ponds in valleys and flattened areas. Therefore, populations are more apt to be concentrated at lower elevations (Whitaker and Hamilton 1998; Linzey and Linzey 1971). However, they can be found far up the tributaries of mountain streams (Webster et al. 1985). They generally avoid lakes with strong wave action, fast- moving streams, and greatly fluctuating flow or water levels. In larger rivers beavers use floodplains and backwaters. In the north, they require water that is deep enough such that it does not freeze to the bottom and allows the accumulation of a substantial food pile beneath the ice. Though populations are sporadically distributed, beavers can populate areas quickly and become locally common anywhere except in tidewater habitats (Lee et al. 1982, Webster et al. 1985). They were almost extirpated through trapping before 1900, but they were transplanted in the early 1940s and have increased dramatically. Beavers are noteworthy in their ability to modify their environment, perhaps creating suitable habitat patches for birds and other mammalian species. Beavers build lodges or use burrows in earthen banks (Webster et al. 1985). One or more families may occupy a pond. In the South breeding occurs late October-March and parturition generally occurs late March and early April (Wigley et al. 1983). Litter size ranges from one to nine (3-4 typical). Females normally first give birth on or near their third birthday and may remain productive for up to at least ten years (Stegeman 1954). In most cases, the young disperse from their family group in late winter or early spring at an age of almost two years, before the new kits are born. Dispersal occurs over land and via waterways (Leege 1968). Stacy Smith, 22June05

#### Hydrography Mask:

Freshwater Only

Slow Current Only

Utilizes flowing water features with buffers of 60m from and 500m into selected water features. Utilizes open water features with buffers of 60m from and 500m into selected water features. Utilizes wet vegetation features with buffers of 60m from and unlimited into selected vegetation features.

cted wap Units:	
Functional Group	Map Unit Name
Forest/Woodland	Appalachian Hemlock-Hardwood Forest
Forest/Woodland	Atlantic Coastal Plain Mesic Hardwood and Mixed Forest
Forest/Woodland	East Gulf Coastal Plain Northern Loess Bluff Forest
Forest/Woodland	East Gulf Coastal Plain Northern Mesic Hardwood Forest
Forest/Woodland	East Gulf Coastal Plain Southern Loess Bluff Forest
Forest/Woodland	East Gulf Coastal Plain Southern Mesic Slope Forest
Forest/Woodland	South-Central Interior Mesophytic Forest
Forest/Woodland	Southern and Central Appalachian Cove Forest
Forest/Woodland	Southern Piedmont Mesic Forest
Water	Open Water (Fresh)
Wetlands	Atlantic Coastal Plain Blackwater Stream Floodplain Forest - Forest Modifier
Wetlands	Atlantic Coastal Plain Blackwater Stream Floodplain Forest - Herbaceous Modifier
Wetlands	Atlantic Coastal Plain Brownwater Stream Floodplain Forest
Wetlands	Atlantic Coastal Plain Clay-Based Carolina Bay Forested Wetland
Wetlands	Atlantic Coastal Plain Depression Pondshore
Wetlands	Atlantic Coastal Plain Large Natural Lakeshore
Wetlands	Atlantic Coastal Plain Nonriverine Swamp and Wet Hardwood Forest - Taxodium/Nyssa Modifier
Wetlands	Atlantic Coastal Plain Nonriverine Swamp and Wet Hardwood Forest - Oak Dominated Modifier
Wetlands	Atlantic Coastal Plain Northern Basin Swamp and Wet Hardwood Forest
Wetlands	Atlantic Coastal Plain Northern Pondshore
Wetlands	Atlantic Coastal Plain Peatland Pocosin
Wetlands	Atlantic Coastal Plain Small Blackwater River Floodplain Forest
Wetlands	Atlantic Coastal Plain Small Brownwater River Floodplain Forest

Wetlands	Central Appalachian Floodplain - Forest Modifier
Wetlands	Central Appalachian Floodplain - Herbaceous Modifier
Wetlands	Central Appalachian Riparian - Forest Modifier
Wetlands	Central Appalachian Riparian - Herbaceous Modifier
Wetlands	East Gulf Coastal Plain Interior Shrub Bog
Wetlands	East Gulf Coastal Plain Large River Floodplain Forest - Forest Modifier
Wetlands	East Gulf Coastal Plain Large River Floodplain Forest - Herbaceous Modifier
Wetlands	East Gulf Coastal Plain Northern Depression Pondshore
Wetlands	East Gulf Coastal Plain Small Stream and River Floodplain Forest
Wetlands	Lower Mississippi River Bottomland and Floodplain Forest
Wetlands	Lower Mississippi River Bottomland Depressions - Forest Modifier
Wetlands	Lower Mississippi River Bottomland Depressions - Herbaceous Modifier
Wetlands	Mississippi River Low Floodplain (Bottomland) Forest
Wetlands	Mississippi River Riparian Forest
Wetlands	North-Central Appalachian Acidic Swamp
Wetlands	North-Central Interior and Appalachian Rich Swamp
Wetlands	South-Central Interior Large Floodplain - Forest Modifier
Wetlands	South-Central Interior Large Floodplain - Herbaceous Modifier
Wetlands	South-Central Interior Small Stream and Riparian
Wetlands	Southern Coastal Plain Blackwater River Floodplain Forest
Wetlands	Southern Coastal Plain Nonriverine Basin Swamp
Wetlands	Southern Coastal Plain Seepage Swamp and Baygall
Wetlands	Southern Coastal Plain Spring-run Stream Aquatic Vegetation
Wetlands	Southern Piedmont Large Floodplain Forest - Forest Modifier
Wetlands	Southern Piedmont Large Floodplain Forest - Herbaceous Modifier
Wetlands	Southern Piedmont Small Floodplain and Riparian Forest
Wetlands	Southern Piedmont/Ridge and Valley Upland Depression Swamp

#### CITATIONS:

NS: Allen, A. W. 1983. Habitat suitability index models:fisher. U.S. Fish and Wildl. Serv. FWS/OBS-82/10.45. 19

pp.

Beier, P., and R. H. Barrett. 1987. Beaver habitat use and impact in Truckee River basin, California. Journal of Wildlife Management 51:794-799.

Brown, L. N. 1997. A guide to the mammals of the southeastern United States. University of Tennessee Press, Knoxville. xiv + 236 pp.

Dieter, C. D., and T. R. McCabe. 1989. Factors influencing beaver lodge-site selection on a prairie river. American Midland Naturalist 122:408-411.

Hamilton, William J., Jr., and John O. Whitaker, Jr. 1979. Mammals of the eastern United States. Cornell Univ. Press, Ithaca, New York. 346 pp.

Hill, E. P. 1982. Beaver. Pages 256-281 in J. A. Chapman and G. A. Feldhamer, editors. Wild mammals of North America:biology, management, and economics. Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore. 1147 pp.

Jones, J. K., Jr., et al. 1992. Revised checklist of North American mammals north of Mexico, 1991. Occas. Pap. Mus., Texas Tech Univ. (146):1-23.

Lee, D. S., L. B. Funderburg Jr., and M. K. Clark. 1982. A distributional survey of North Carolina mammals. Occasional Papers of the North Carolina Biological Survey, No. 1982-10. North Carolina State. Mus. Nat. Hist., Raleigh, North Carolina. 72 pp.

Leege, T. A. 1968. Natural movements of beavers in southeastern Idaho. Journal of Wildlife Management 32:973-976.

Linzey, Alicia V., & Donald W. Linzey. 1971. Mammals of the Great Smoky Mountains National Park. The University of Tennessee Press, Knoxville, Tennessee. 114 p.

McComb, W. C., J. R. Sedell, and T. D. Buchholz. 1990. Dam-site selection by beavers in an eastern Oregon basin. Great Basin Naturalist 50:273-281.

Naiman, R. J., C. A. Johnston, and J. C. Kelley. 1988. Alteration of North American streams by beaver. BioScience 38:753-762.

Novak, M. 1987a. Beaver. Pages 283-313 in M. Novak, et al. editors. Wild furbearer management and conservation in North America. Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources.

Obbard, M. E., et al. 1987. Furbearer harvests in North America. Pages 1007-1034 in M. Novak et al., eds. Wild furbearer management and conservation in North America. Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources and The Ontario Trappers Association. 1150 pp.

Rebertus, A. J. 1986. Bogs as beaver habitat in north-central Minnesota. American Midland Naturalist 116:240-245.

Remillard, M. M., G. K. Gruendling, and D. J. Bogucki. 1987. Disturbance by beaver (CASTOR CANADENSIS Kuhl) and increased landscape heterogeneity. Pages 103-122 in M. G. Turner, editor. Ecological Studies 64. Landscape heterogeneity and disturbance. Sprin

Schwartz, Charles W., and Elizabeth R. Schwartz. 1981. The wild mammals of Missouri. University of Missouri Press, Columbia. 356 pp.

Slough, B. G., and R. M. F. S. Sadleir. 1977. A land capability classification system for beaver (CASTOR CANADENSIS). Canadian Journal of Zoology 55:1324-1335.

Stegeman, L. C. 1954. The production of aspen and its utilization on the Huntington Forest. Journal of Wildlife Management 18:348-358.

Webster, W. D., J. F. Parnell and W. C. Biggs Jr. 1985. Mammals of the Carolinas, Virginia, and Maryland. The University of North Carolina Press, Chapel Hill, NC.

Whitaker, J.O. Jr. and W.J. Hamilton, Jr. 1998. Mammals of the eastern United States. Cornell Univ. Press, Ithaca, New York. 583 pp.

Wigley, T. B., T. H. Roberts, and D. H. Arner. 1983. Reproductive characteristics of beaver in Mississippi. J. Wildl. Manage. 47:1172-1177.

Wilson, D. E., and D. M. Reeder (editors). 1993. Mammal Species of the World:a Taxonomic and Geographic Reference. Second Edition. Smithsonian Institution Press, Washington, DC. xviii + 1206 pp.

For more information:: SE-GAP Analysis Project / BaSIC 127 David Clark Labs Dept. of Biology, NCSU Raleigh, NC 27695-7617 (919) 513-2853 www.basic.ncsu.edu/segap Compiled: 15 September 2011

This data was compiled and/or developed by the Southeast GAP Analysis Project at The Biodiversity and Spatial Information Center, North Carolina State University.