



Species Modeling Report

Roseate Tern

Sterna dougallii


Taxa: Avian SE-GAP Spp Code: **bROST** Order: Charadriiformes ITIS Species Code: 176891

Family: Laridae NatureServe Element Code: ABNNM08060

KNOWN RANGE:

Roseate Tern Sterna dougallii

PREDICTED HABITAT:



http://www.basic.ncsu.edu/segap/datazip/maps/SE_Range_bROST.pdf Range Map Link:

Predicted Habitat Map Link: http://www.basic.ncsu.edu/segap/datazip/maps/SE_Dist_bROST.pdf GAP Online Tool Link: http://www.gapserve.ncsu.edu/segap/segap/index2.php?species=bROST

Data Download: http://www.basic.ncsu.edu/segap/datazip/region/vert/bROST_se00.zip

PROTECTION STATUS:

Reported on March 14, 2011

Federal Status: ---

State Status: CT (E), CT (E), FL (FT), MA (E), MD (X), ME (E), NC (E), NC (E), NY (E), RI (State Historical), RI (State

Historical), VA (LE), VA (LE), NS (Endangered), QC (Susceptible)

NS Global Rank: G4

NS State Rank: AL (SNA), CT (S1B), CT (S1B), DE (SNA), FL (S1), GA (SNA), IL (SNA), IN (SNA), LA (SNA), MA (S2B,S3N), MD (SHB), ME (S2B), MS (SNA), NC (SUB), NC (SUB), NH (SHB), NJ (S1B,S1N), NY (S1B), PA (SNA), RI (SHB,S1N), RI

(SHB,S1N), SC (SNA), TX (SNA), VA (SHB), VA (SHB), WI (SNA), NB (S1B), NS (S1B), PE (SNA), QC (S1B)

bROST Page 1 of 4

SUMMARY OF PREDICTED HABITAT BY MANAGMENT AND GAP PROTECTION STATUS:

	US FWS		US Forest Service		Tenn. Valley Author.		US DOD/ACOE	
	ha	%	ha	%	ha	%	ha	%
Status 1	1,143.7	16	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0
Status 2	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0
Status 3	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	291.4	4
Status 4	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0
Total	1,143.7	16	0.0	0	0.0	0	291.4	4
	US Dept. of Energy		US Nat. Park Service		NOAA		Other Federal Lands	
	ha	%	ha	%	ha	%	ha	%
Status 1	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0
Status 2	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0
Status 3	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0
Status 4	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0
Total	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0
	Native Am. Reserv.		State Park/Hist. Park		State WMA/Gameland		State Forest	
	ha	%	ha	%	ha	%	ha	%
Status 1	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0
Status 2	0.0	0	0.0	0	3.4	< 1	0.0	0
Status 3	0.0	0	126.6	2	0.0	0	0.0	0
Status 4	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0
Total	0.0	0	126.6	2	3.4	< 1	0.0	0
	State Coastal Reserve		ST Nat.Area/Preserve		Other State Lands		Private Cons. Easemt.	
	ha	%	ha	%	ha	%	ha	%
Status 1	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0
Status 2	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0
Status 3	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0
Status 4	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0
Total	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0
	Private Land - I	No Res.		Water			Overa	ıll Total
	ha	%	ha	%			ha	%
Status 1	0.0	0	0.0	0			1,143.7	16
Status 2	0.0	0	0.0	0			3.4	< 1
Status 3	0.0	0	0.0	0			418.1	6
Status 4	4,024.5	57	1,436.7	20			5,461.2	78
Total	4,024.5	57	1,436.7	20			7,026.4	100

GAP Status 1: An area having permanent protection from conversion of natural land cover and a mandated management plan in operation to maintain a natural state within which disturbance events (of natural type, frequency, and intensity) are allowed to proceed without interference or are mimicked through management.

GAP Status 2: An area having permanent protection from conversion of natural land cover and a mandated management plan in operation to maintain a primarily natural state, but which may receive use or management practices that degrade the quality of existing natural communities.

GAP Status 3: An area having permanent protection from conversion of natural land cover for the majority of the area, but subject to extractive uses of either a broad, low-intensity type or localized intense type. It also confers protection to federally listed endangered and threatened species throughout the area.

GAP Status 4: Lack of irrevocable easement or mandate to prevent conversion of natural habitat types to anthropogenic habitat types. Allows for intensive use throughout the tract. Also includes those tracts for which the existence of such restrictions or sufficient information to establish a higher status is unknown.

bROST Page 2 of 4

PREDICTED HABITAT MODEL(S):

Summer Model:

Habitat Description: Nests on Florida Keys from Marathon Key to Dry Tortugas (Stevenson and Anderson 1994). Nests in

relatively open areas, among rocky, grassy, coral rubble, or sand substrate often with no cover nearby. Forages over shallow sandbars, shoals, inlets and along reef margins; also feeds pelagically over schools of

predatory fish (Gochfeld 1998). M. Rubino, 30dec04.

Hydrography Mask:

Brackish/Saltwater Only

Utilizes open water features with buffers of unlimited from and 2000m into selected water features.

lected Map Units:						
Functional Group	Map Unit Name					
Beach	South Florida Shell Hash Beach					
Beach	Unconsolidated Shore (Beach/Dune)					
Brackish Tidal Marsh & Wetland	South Florida Mangrove Swamp					
Brackish Tidal Marsh & Wetland	Southwest Florida Perched Barriers Salt Swamp and Lagoon - Mangrove Modifier					
Brackish Tidal Marsh & Wetland	Southwest Florida Perched Barriers Salt Swamp and Lagoon - Marsh Modifier					
Coastal Dune & Freshwater Wetland	Atlantic and Gulf Coastal Plain Interdunal Wetland					
Water	Open Water (Brackish/Salt)					

CITATIONS:

American Ornithologists' Union (AOU), Committee on Classification and Nomenclature. 1983. Check-list of North American Birds. Sixth Edition. American Ornithologists' Union, Allen Press, Inc., Lawrence, Kansas.

Bent, A.C. 1921. Life histories of North American gulls and terns. U.S. Natl. Mux. Bull. 113. Washington, D.C.

Buckley, P. A., and F. G. Buckley. 1984. Seabirds of the north and middle Atlantic coast of the United States: their status and conservation. Pages 101-133 in Croxall et al., eds. Status and conservation of the world's seabirds. ICBP Tech. Pub. No. 2.

Burger, J., and M. Gochfeld. 1988. Nest-ste selection by roseate terns in two tropical colonies on Culebra, Puerto Rico. Condor 90:843-851.

Burger, J., and M. Gochfeld. 1991. Reproductive vulnerability:parental attendance around hatching in roseate (STERNA DOUGALLII) and common (S. HIRUNDO) terns. Condor 93:125-129.

Clapp, R. B., and P. A. Buckley. 1984. Status and conservation of seabirds in the southeastern United States. Pages 135-155 in Croxall et al., eds. Status and conservation of the world's seabirds. ICBP Tech. Pub. No. 2.

Cooper, J., A. J. Williams, and P. L. Britton. 1984. Distribution, population sizes and conservation of breeding seabirds in the Afrotropical region. Pages 403-419 in Croxall et al., eds. Status and conservation of the world's seabirds. ICBP Tech. Pub. No

de Korte, J. 1984. Status and conservation of seabird colonies in Indonesia. Pages 527-545 in Croxall et al., eds. Status and conservation of the world's seabirds. ICBP Tech. Pub. No. 2.

Ehrlich, P.R., D.S. Dobkin, and D. Wheye. 1992. Birds in jeopardy: the imperiled and extinct birds of the United States and Canada, including Hawaii and Puerto Rico. Stanford University Press, Stanford, California. 259 pp.

Evans, P. G. H. 1984. Status and conservation of seabirds in northwest Europe (excluding Norways and the USSR). Pages 293-321 in Croxall et al., eds. Status and conservation of the world's seabirds. ICBP Tech. Pub. No. 2.

Feare, C. J. 1984. Seabird status and conservation in the tropical Indian Ocean. Pages 457-471 in Croxall et al., eds. Status and conservation of the world's seabirds. ICBP Tech. Pub. No. 2.

Gallagher, M. D., et al. 1984. The distribution and conservation of seabirds breeding on the coasts and islands of Iran and Arabia. Pages 421-456 in Croxall et al., eds. Status and conservation of the world's seabirds. ICBP Tech. Pub. No. 2.

Garnett, M. C. 1984. Conservation of seabirds in the South Pacific region:a review. Pages 547-558 in Croxall et al., eds. Status and conservation of the world's seabirds. ICBP Tech. Pub. No. 2.

Gochfeld, M. 1983. The roseate tern:world distribution and status of a threatened species. Biological Conservation 25(2):103-125.

Harrison, C. 1978. A field guide to the nests, eggs and nestlings of North American birds. Collins, Cleveland, Ohio.

Hasegawa, H. 1984. Status and conservation of seabirds in Japan, with special attention to the short-tailed albatross. Pages 487-500 in Croxall et al., eds. Status and conservation of the world's seabirds. ICBP Tech. Pub. No. 2.

Le Grand, G., K. Emmerson, and A. Martin. 1984. The status and conservation of seabirds in the Macaronesian Islands. Pages 377-391 in Croxall et al., eds. Status and conservation of the world's seabirds. ICBP Tech. Pub. No. 2.

Matthews, J. R., and C. J. Moseley (editors). 1990. The Official World Wildlife Fund Guide to Endangered Species of North America. Volume 1. Plants, Mammals. xxiii + pp 1-560 + 33 pp. appendix + 6 pp. glossary + 16 pp. index. Volume 2. Birds, Reptiles, Am

bROST Page 3 of 4

Melville, D. S. 1984. Seabirds of China and the surrounding seas. Pages 501-511 in Croxall et al., eds. Status and conservation of the world's seabirds. ICBP Tech. Pub. No. 2.

Minsky, D. 1981. The terns of Cape Cod. The Associaiton for the Preservation of Cape Cod, Informational Bull. No. 9. 34

National Geographic Society (NGS). 1983. Field guide to the birds of North America. National Geographic Society, Washington,

Nichols, J. D., J. A. Spendelow, and J. E. Hines. 1990. Capture-recapture estimation of prebreeding survival rate for birds exhibiting delayed maturation. J. Field Ornithology 61:347-354.

Northeast Roseate Tern Recovery Team. 1988. Draft recoveryplan for roseate tern STERNA DOUGALLII northeastern population. Region 5, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

ochfeld, Michael, Joanna Burger, and Ian C. Nisbet. 1998. Roseate Tern (Sterna dougallii), The Birds of North America Online (A. Poole, Ed.). Ithaca: Cornell Lab of Ornithology; No. 370.

Raffaele, H.A. 1983. A guide to the birds of Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands. Fondo Educativo Interamericano, San Juan, Puerto Rico. 255

Ramos, J. A., and A. J. del Nevo. 1995. Nest-site selection by roseate terns and common terns in the Azores. Auk 112:580-

Safina, C. 1990. Bluefish mediation of foraging competition between roseate and common terns. Ecology 71:1804-

Safina, C. 1990. Foraging habitat partitioning in roseate and common terns. Auk 107:351-

Safina, C., et al. 1988. Evidence for prey limitation of common and roseate tern reproduction. Condor 90:852-

Spendelow, J. A., and J. D. Nichols. 1989. Annual survival rates of breeding adult roseate terns. Auk 106:367-

Spendelow, J.A., and S.R. Patton. 1988. National atlas of coastal waterbird colonies in the contiguous United States:1976-1982. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Biological Report 88(5). x + 326 pp.

Sprunt, A., IV. 1984. The status and conservation of seabirds of the Bahama Islands. Pages 157-168 in Croxall et al., eds. Status and conservation of the world's seabirds. ICBP Tech. Pub. No. 2.

Stevenson, H. M., and B. H. Anderson. 1994. The birdlife of Florida. University Press of Florida, Gainesville. 892

Terres, J.K. 1980. The Audubon Society encyclopedia of North American birds. Alfred A. Knopf, New

Trull, P. 1988. The roseate tern in Massachusetts. Massachusetts Wildlife 38(3):22-

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). 1990. Endangered and threatened species recovery program:report to Congress. 406

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2 November 1987. Determination of endangered and threatened status for two populations of roseate tern. Federal Register 52:42064-42068.

van Halewyn, R., and R. L. Norton. 1984. The status and conservation of seabirds in the Caribbean. Pages 169-222 in Croxall et al., eds. Status and conservation of the world's seabirds. ICBP Tech. Pub. No. 2.

van Tets, G. F., and P. J. Fullagar. 1984. Status of seabirds breeding in Australia. Pages 559-571 in Croxall et al., eds. Status and conservation of the world's seabirds. ICBP Tech. Pub. No. 2.

For more information:: SE-GAP Analysis Project / BaSIC 127 David Clark Labs Dept. of Biology, NCSU Raleigh, NC 27695-7617 (919) 513-2853

www.basic.ncsu.edu/segap

This data was compiled and/or developed

by the Southeast GAP Analysis Project at The Biodiversity and Spatial Information Center, North Carolina State University

Compiled: 15 September 2011

bROST Page 4 of 4